UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,414	01/06/2005	Raju Adhikari	07082.0020U1	5460
	7590 11/30/200 SENBERG, P.C.	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000		DICKINSON, PAUL W		
999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,414	ADHIKARI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul W. Dickinson	4173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12 This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,13,15-18 and 23 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11,12,14 and 19-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>3-32</u> is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 January 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orelation replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, Claims 11-22 in the reply filed on 10/12/2007 is acknowledged. Applicant's election without traverse of the following is also acknowledged: methyl-2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate as the isocyanate, pentaerythritol as the low molecular weight multifunctional core, caprolactone as the soft segment monomer, and a biodegradable, biocompatible composition that does further comprise biological and inorganic compounds.

Claims 1-10, 13, 15-18, 23-32 are directed to a non-elected species and are hereby withdrawn. Claims 11-12, 14, and 19-22 are currently under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0837084 (Document provided by Applicant; The document lacks pagination and the Examiner is considering the title page to be Page 1). '084 discloses biodegradable, biocompatible branched polymers containing dioxanone units that are optionally end-capped with isocyanate (see abstract; p 3, ln 34-43). These isocyanate end-capped polymers are formed by the reaction of an isocyanate with a low molecular weight multifunctional core

Art Unit: 1614

(see Example 9). The isocyanate end-capped polymers can be subsequently treated with alkylene oxide polymers, affording functional oligomers with pendant alkene oxide polymeric arms (see p 7, ln 13-17). An example is given wherein a star copolymer of p-dioxanone and glycolide is treated with lysine isocyanate (ethyl-2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate) (see Example 9). The resulting isocyanate end-capped star polymer is treated with poly(ethylene oxide monomethyl ether) (see Example 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12, 14, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0837084 in view of US 3281378. As stated above, '084 discloses biodegradable, biocompatible branched polymers containing dioxanone units that are optionally end-capped with isocyanate. These isocyanate end-capped polymers are formed by the reaction of an isocyanate with a multifunctional core. The isocyanate end-capped polymers can be subsequently treated with alkylene oxide polymers, affording functional oligomers with pendant alkene oxide polymeric arms. The presence of these arms reduce cell adherence while maintaining the biodegradability of the polymer (see p 7, ln 16-17). '084 discloses that caprolactone and its related compounds are known in the art as components in surgical devices (see p 2, ln 38-40). The polymers disclosed by '084 can be administered as an *in-vivo* implant (see 6, ln 12-21) and a delivery vehicle for therapeutic agents, such as cross-linked dextran (see p 7, ln 18-39) or hydroxyapatite (see Examples 13-18).

'084 fails to disclose a biodegradable, biocompatible polymer composition comprising the reaction product of water, polycaprolactone triol and a prepolymer comprising the reaction product of pentaerythritol and methyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate. '084 further fails to disclose a polymeric scaffold comprising a cured

Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

polymer of the above material, including the physical properties (compressive strength and pore size) of such a material.

'378 discloses a polymer formed from the reaction of pentaerythritol with 2,6-diisocyanato propyl caproate (propyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate) (see Example 17), 2,6-diisocyanato dodecyl caproate (dodecyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate) (see Example 19), or 2,6-diisocyanato phenyl caproate (phenyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate) (see Example 36). '378 discloses 2,6-diisocyanato methyl caproate (methyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate) as a preferred reagent (see col 2, ln 3-44).

One skilled in the art would be motivated to combine the disclosures of '084 and '378 to afford the instant invention, with a reasonable expectation of success.

Specifically, in the effort to manufacture improved biodegradable, biocompatible branched polymers and scaffolds made from such materials, it would be reasonable to produce a polymer made by reaction of pentaerythritol with methyl 2,6-diisocyanato hexanoate to afford an isocyanate end-capped polymer. To reduce cell adherence while maintaining the biodegradability of the polymer, the isocyanate end-capped polymer could be subsequently reacted with a biodegradable polymer. Owing to its precedent in the art in surgical devices, a good choice of biodegrable polymer would be polycaprolactone. It would be reasonable to use the resulting polymer as an *in-vivo* implant and a delivery vehicle for therapeutic agents, such as cross-linked dextran.

Optimization of the compressive strength and pore size of the implant is not, in itself, patentable subject matter. Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there

Application/Control Number: 10/520,414 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul W. Dickinson whose telephone number is 571-270-3499. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 7:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,414 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul W Dickinson Examiner Art Unit 4173

November 28, 2007

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614